

SECRET

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Friday - 14 June 1974

[REDACTED]

1. [REDACTED] Met with Frank Slatinshek, Chief Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, and brought him up-to-date on changes in a sensitive Agency project. I gave him a copy of the Director's letter of 14 June to Senator John C. Stennis, (D., Miss.), concerning Senate action on H.R. 14592. In addition, I told him we would be delivering the NID starting Monday for Representative Lucien N. Nedzi, (D., Mich.), and Representative Edward Hebert, (D., La.), and that [REDACTED] OCI, would be able to give him a detailed briefing on the NID itself. Slatinshek will be glad to talk to him.

[REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] Met with Guy McConnell, Senate Appropriations staff, and received from him the budget letter co-signed by Chairman George H. Mahon, House Committee on Appropriations and Chairman John T. McClellan, Senate Appropriations Committee, appropriating funds for the Central Intelligence Agency. The letter will be delivered to OMB through Agency channels. Jack Iams, Comptroller, has been advised.

I brought McConnell up-to-date on changes in a sensitive Agency project and discussed Chairman Lucien Nedzi's, House Armed Services Committee, intelligence briefing with him.

3. [REDACTED] Met with Ed Braswell, Chief Counsel, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, and delivered the Director's letter of 14 June concerning H.R. 14592. Braswell and Mr. Cary had discussed this letter earlier in the day.

I also briefed him on changes in a sensitive Agency project.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Friday - 14 June 1974

Page 2

25X1

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

7. [REDACTED] Called Ed Braswell, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, to tell him [REDACTED] was on his way up with the Director's letter to the Chairman on the subject of the Proxmire amendments to the National Security Act. I told Braswell what the thrust of the letter was and he expressed some concern about the problems the Senate members would run into in coming back to Proxmire with conference report language which might be interpreted by Proxmire as being contrary to the language agreed to on the floor of the Senate. I told Braswell I thought the House Committee members would have difficulty with the provisions the Director had called attention to in his letter and I saw no reason why the Senate conferees could not report back to the Senate that the House members were adamant on these two points. It is clear Chairman Stennis and his staff do not want to upset the compromise which was worked out with Proxmire since one of the benefits of that compromise is elimination of the necessity for Armed Services Committee hearings on the sufficiency of the Agency's legislation

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIAL